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Metal complexes have played central roles in the initiation and propagation of many important polymerization reactions. ${ }^{1}$ Studies of the reactions of metal carbonyl cluster complexes with episulfides have shown sulfur transfer and alkene elimination to be the preferred reaction pathway (e.g., eq 1). ${ }^{2}$ Although thiirane,

$\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Ru}, \mathrm{Os}$
$\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$, is able to serve as a ligand through coordination of the sulfur atom, ${ }^{3}$ very little is known about the nature of the ring opening and eventual elimination of alkene in these reactions. The desulfurization of thiirane on a clean molybdenum (110) surface is believed to occur by an intramolecular elimination of ethylene without the formation of a thiolate intermediate. ${ }^{4}$ We have now investigated the reactions of $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}(\mathrm{NCMe})^{5}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Os}_{6}{ }^{-}$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{16}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}^{5}(2)$ with thiirane and have observed a pattern of ring opening and oligomerization that involves interactions of the metal atoms of the cluster functioning as a group.
$1(50.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was allowed to react with $19 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.33$ mmol ) of $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{6}$ in 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent at reflux for 50 min . From this mixture, we have isolated by TLC 6.7 mg of the known compound $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}\left(\mu_{4}-\mathrm{S}\right)(3),{ }^{7} 13 \%$ yield; and 13.8 mg of the new compound $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}\left[\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]$ (4), $26 \%$ yield. ${ }^{8}$
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Figure 1. An ORTEP diagram of $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}\left[\mu-\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]$ (4) showing $50 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids. Selected intramolecular distances $(\AA)$ are $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(3)=2.872(2), \mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(5)=2.880(2), \mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(6)$ $=2.814(2), \mathrm{Os}(3)-\mathrm{Os}(4)=2.778(2), \mathrm{Os}(3)-\mathrm{Os}(5)=2.725(2), \mathrm{Os}-$ $(3)-\mathrm{Os}(6)=2.897(2), \mathrm{Os}(4)-\mathrm{Os}(6)=2.862, \mathrm{Os}(5)-\mathrm{Os}(6)=2.894$ (2), $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)=2.380(7), \mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)=2.353(7), \mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)=2.389$ (7), $\mathrm{Os}(6)-\mathrm{S}(1)=2.324$ (7), $\mathrm{Os}(6)-\mathrm{S}(2)=2.401$ (7).


Figure 2. An ortep drawing of $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}\left[\mu_{4}-\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{3}\right]$ (7) showing $50 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids. Selected intramolecular distances $(\AA)$ are $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(2)=2.738(2), \mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(3)=2.870(2)$, $\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(3)=2.767(1), \mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(4)=2.820(1), \mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(6)=$ $2.587(2), \mathrm{Os}(3)-\mathrm{Os}(4)=2.980(2), \mathrm{Os}(4)-\mathrm{Os}(6)=2.977(1), \mathrm{Os}(5)-$ $\mathrm{Os}(6)=2.930(1), \mathrm{Os}(5)-\mathrm{S}(1)=2.404(6), \mathrm{Os}(5)-\mathrm{S}(2)=2.432(6)$, $\mathrm{Os}(5)-\mathrm{S}(4)=2.428(6)$.

Compound 4 was characterized by IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and singlecrystal X-ray diffraction analysis. ${ }^{9}, 10$ An ORTEP drawing of 4 is shown in Figure 1. Four of the six osmium atoms, $\mathrm{Os}(3)$, $\mathrm{Os}(4)$, $\mathrm{Os}(5)$, and $\mathrm{Os}(6)$, are arranged in the shape of a closed tetrahedron. The $\mathrm{Os}(3)-\mathrm{Os}(5)$ edge of this group is bridged by an $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ group, $\mathrm{Os}(1)$, and a second $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ group, $\mathrm{Os}(2)$, is bonded to the cluster at $\mathrm{Os}(6)$. An ethanedithiolate group bridges
(9) Dark orange crystals of 4 were grown by slow evaporation of solvent from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, space group, $P 2_{1} / n, \mathrm{a}=10.101$ (2) $\AA, b=$ 17.953 (3) $\AA, c=16.193$ (3) $\AA, \beta=94.75(1)^{\circ}, Z=4$. An empirical absorption correction was applied to the data. The structure was solved by direct methods and was refined ( 2968 reflections) to the final residuals, $R=$ 0.034 and $\boldsymbol{R}_{w}=0.035$.
(10) Diffraction measurements were made on a Rigaku AFC6S diffractometer by using Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation. Calculations were performed on a Digital Equipment Corp. MICROVAX II computer by using the TEXSAN structure solving program library obtained from the Molecular Structure Corp., The Woodlands, TX.
the $\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(6)$ bond. $\mathrm{S}(2)$ is bonded to $\mathrm{Os}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Os}(6)$, but $\mathrm{S}(1)$ is a triply bridging atom that is bonded to $\mathrm{Os}(1), 2.380$ (7) $\AA, \operatorname{Os}(2), 2.353$ (7) $\AA$, and $\mathrm{Os}(6), 2.324$ (7) $\AA$, and presumably serves as a five-electron donor to the three metal atoms. The bonding within the dithiolato ligand is similar to that in other bridging ethanedithiolate groups. ${ }^{\text {" }}$ The presence of two sulfur atoms bonded to the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ group suggests that a sulfur atom, possibly a sulfido ligand transferred to 1 in a prior reaction with thiirane, ${ }^{12}$ has played a role in the thiirane ring-opening process.

The importance of ring-opening processes is even more profound in the reaction of 2 with thiirane. From the reaction of 25 mg ( 0.015 mmol ) of 2 with $8.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ of thiirane in 25 mL of refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 30 min , we have isolated by TLC the complexes $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}\left(\mu_{4}-\mathrm{S}\right)\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)(5),{ }^{13} 15 \%$ yield, $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}-$ $\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)\left[\mu-\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right](6){ }^{14} 8 \%$ yield, a trace of $4,1 \%$ yield, and $\mathrm{Os}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}\left[\mu_{4}-\mathrm{S}^{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{3}\right](7),{ }^{15} 10 \%$ yield. Compounds 6 and 7 have been characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses. ${ }^{10,16}$ Compound 6 contains both a triply bridging sulfido ligand and a bridging ethanedithiolate group as shown by the line structure below.
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Compound 7 is considerably more complex. Details of its molecular structure are shown in Figure 2. The molecule contains a 4,7-dithiaoctanedithiolato ligand (the atoms of this ligand are connected by solid bonds) coordinated to a very open cluster of six metal atoms. Five of the metal atoms are arranged in a nearly planar array, while the sixth, Os(5), extends out from this group and is extensively coordinated by the 4,7-dithiaoctanedithiolato ligand. ${ }^{17}$ The terminus $\mathrm{S}(1)$ is a triple bridge, while the other terminus $S(4)$ bridges only two metal atoms. Only one of the thioether links is bonded to a metal atom, $\mathrm{S}(2)$ to $\mathrm{Os}(5)$, Os-$(5)-S(2)=2.432(6) \AA$. One can imagine the formation of the 4,7-dithiaoctanedithiolato ligand by a sulfur-induced ring opening and oligomerization of three thiirane molecules. Metal complexes containing thiolato ligands have been shown to promote the ring-opening polymerization of episulfides. ${ }^{19}$
(11) (a) McKenna, M.; Wright, L. L.; Miller, D. J.; Tanner, L.; Haltiwanger, R. D.; Rakowski DuBois, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, I05, 5329 (b) Rakowski DuBois M.; Haltiwanger, R. C.; Miller, D. J.; Glatzmaier, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 5245 .
(12) By an independent reaction it was shown that 3 was not the precursor to 4.
(13) Adams, R. D.; Horväth, 1. T.; Yang, L. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1533.
(14) For 6: (IR, $\nu(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, in hexane) $2101(\mathrm{~m}), 2080(\mathrm{vs}), 2068$ (vs), 2046 (s), 2024 (s), 2004 (s), 1951 (w), 1937 (w); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 3.00 (d, d, d; $\left.J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.90$ (d, d, $\left.\mathrm{d} ; J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
(15) Compound 7 is a slow moving band that was eluted from the base line of the TLC plate with pure $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent. For 7 : ( $1 \mathrm{R}, \nu(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, in hexane) 2088 (m), 2058 (s), 2048 (vs), 2017 (m), 2004 (m), 1981 (w); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $5.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=2.0,1(\mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}\right.$ $=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.00\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, H), $\left.2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.00, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$.
(16) Dark red-brown crystals of 6 were grown from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /hexane, 10/1, solutions at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, space group, $P 2_{1} / c, a=14.674$ (2) $\AA, b=9.803$ (1) $\AA$, $c=21.303$ (2) $\AA, \beta=101.30(1)^{\circ}, Z=4$. An empirical absorption correction was applied. The structure was solved by direct methods and was refined ( 2626 reflections) to the final values of the residuals, $R=0.031, R_{w}=0.031$. Dark red crystals of 7 were grown from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /benzene, $20 / 1$, solutions at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. They were found to contain 1.5 equiv of benzene per unit of complex space group, $P \overline{1}, a=11.806$ (2) $\AA, b=17.894$ (5) $\AA, c=9.982$ (1) $\AA, \alpha=$ $99.58(2)^{\circ}, \beta=100.76(1)^{\circ}, \gamma=93.95(2)^{\circ}, Z=2$. An empirical absorption correction was applied. The structure was solved by direct methods and was refined ( 3514 reflections) to the final values of the residuals, $R=0.042, R_{w}$ $=0.040$.
(17) A metal complex containing a 4,7-octanedithiolato ligand prepared by a different method has been structurally characterized. ${ }^{18}$

While the mechanism of the initial ring-opening and chain propagation steps have yet to be established, it does appear that high nuclearity cluster complexes possess novel abilities to produce episulfide ring-opening and oligomerization processes that do not lead to the loss of alkene. This could be related to the ability of large clusters to produce more extensive and extended coordination of the dithiolate chains.
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Since its independent discovery in 1967 by Olah ${ }^{1}$ and Hogeveen, ${ }^{2}$ the formation of carbocations by protonolysis of alkanes with strong acids such as $\mathrm{HSbF}_{6}$ has become a well-known and much-studied process. ${ }^{3}$ Although the reaction appears simple, its mechanism has been a subject of controversy for more than two decades because a nonstoichiometric amount of hydrogen is usually produced. ${ }^{4}$ Thus, the "obvious" mechanism involving protonation of an alkane $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond to yield an intermediate with a three-center, two-electron $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ bond, followed by loss of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, cannot be correct in most instances.


Numerous rationalizations for the nonstoichiometric formation of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ have been offered, ${ }^{5-9}$ many of which conclude that $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is
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[^0]:    (1) (a) Collman, J. P.; Hegedus, L. S.; Norton, J. R.; Finke, R. G. Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1987; Chapter 7. (b) Parshall, G. W. Homogeneous Catalysis; Wiley: New York, 1980, Chapter 4. (c) Pino, P.; Giannini, U.; Porri, L. Insertion Polymerization In Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Engineering, Wiley: New York, 1987; Vol. 8, pp 147-220.
    (2) (a) Adams, R. D.; Babin, J. E.; Tasi, M. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 4514. (b) Morrow, J. R.; Tonker, T. L.; Templeton, J. L. Organometallics 1985, 4,745. (c) Calet, S.; Alper, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 3573. (d) Lorenz, 1.-P.; Messelauser, J.; Hiller, W.; Conrad, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 316, 121. (e) Shunn, R. A.; Fritchie, C. J.; Prewitt, C. T. Inorg. Chem. 1966, 5, 892. (f) Sander, M. Chem. Rev. 1966, 66, 297. (g) King, R. B. Inorg. Chem. 1963, 2, 326. (h) Beck, W.; Danzer, W.; Theil, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1973, l2, 582.
    (3) Amarasekera, J.; Rauchfuss, R. B.; Wilson, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, $110,2332$.
    (4) Roberts, J. T.; Friend, C. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7899.
    (5) Ditzel, E. J.; Holden, D.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; Saunders, A.; Taylor, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 1373.
    (6) Thiirane was purified by distillation before use and was shown to be pure by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.
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    (8) Compound 4 was isolated by TLC on silica gel by elution with a hexane/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 2 / 1$, solvent mixture. For 4: $1 \mathrm{R}\left(\nu(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right.$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) 2100 (w), 2081 (m), 2067 (vs), 2024 (s, br); 'H NMR (in CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) 3.28 (s, br), 3.26 (s, br). Satisfactory elemental analyses for $\mathbf{C}$ and H have been obtained.
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